

Record of proceedings dated 18.08.2022

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 21 of 2022	M/s. Rain Cements Limited	TSTRANSCO & TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking directions to the respondents to treat its WHRS plant as renewable source.

Sri Deepak Chowdary, Advocate representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, advocate for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the necessary application for filing documents is being filed today by undertaking the route of interlocutory application, which has to be processed. The representative of the respondents has no objection. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 01.09.2022 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 24 of 2021	M/s. Prashanth Narayan G (PNG)	TSSPDCL & TSTRANSCO

Petition filed seeking the energy generated fed into the grid for the period before open access as deemed purchase of licensee or pay for the same.

Sri Deepak Chowdary, Advocate representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, counsel for the petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the rejoinder is already filed and a copy of the same is given to the respondents. The matter may be taken up for hearing on any other date. He needs time to make submissions in the matter. The representative of the respondents opposed the request made by the advocate representing the counsel for petitioner. However, the Commission considered the request of the advocate representing the counsel for petitioner. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 01.09.2022 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 47 of 2022	M/s. J. K. Fenner (India) Limited	TSSPDCL & TSTRANSCO

Petition filed seeking directions to the respondents to grant open access and credit the energy already injected into the grid towards captive consumption.

Sri P. Srinivasa Rao, Advocate petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents are present. The counsel for the petitioner stated that it appears the respondents have filed their counter affidavit in the matter, however, the same has not been received by him. He made an enquiry with the office of the Commission and it was informed that the counter affidavits have been filed by the respondents separately. As such, he had collected copies of the same from the office of the Commission only the other day. Therefore, time may be granted for filing rejoinder, if any. The representative of the respondents stated that the counter affidavits have been filed with the Commission long back and copies of the same were also sent to the petitioner. This statement of the representative of the respondents is denied by the counsel for petitioner. In the circumstances, the Commission construed it appropriate to accede to the request of the counsel for petitioner and accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 05.09.2022 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 49 of 2022	M/s. Vena Energy Solar India Power Pvt. Ltd.	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking directions to the respondent for payment of dues along with late payment surcharge duly complying with the provisions of PPA of the project situated at Sadasivpet (V), Medak District

Sri Aditya K. Singh, Advocate petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition is filed for recovering the amounts due towards power generation supplied to the respondent. The representative of the respondent stated that the matter is coming up for the first time and he needs further time for filing counter affidavit. The Commission upon insistence of the counsel for petitioner for interim directions or disposal of the petition itself on the same lines as has been decided by the

Commission in similar cases, had observed that the Commission is inclined to pass orders, however, an opportunity is being given to the respondent for filing counter affidavit. The Commission having noticed that the matter is coming up today for the first time for filing counter affidavit, while making it clear that the licensee shall file the counter affidavit expeditiously and the petitioner is at liberty to file rejoinder, if any upon filing counter affidavit by the respondent, adjourned the matter.

Call on 05.09.2022 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 50 of 2022	M/s. Vena Energy Solar India Power Pvt. Ltd.	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking directions to the respondent for payment of dues along with late payment surcharge duly complying with the provisions of PPA of the project situated at Minpur (V), Medak District

Sri Aditya K. Singh, Advocate petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition is filed for recovering the amounts due towards power generation supplied to the respondent. The representative of the respondent stated that the matter is coming up for the first time and he needs further time for filing counter affidavit. The Commission upon insistence of the counsel for petitioner for interim directions or disposal of the petition itself on the same lines as has been decided by the Commission in similar cases, had observed that the Commission is inclined to pass orders, however, an opportunity is being given to the respondent for filing counter affidavit. The Commission having noticed that the matter is coming up today for the first time for filing counter affidavit, while making it clear that the licensee shall file the counter affidavit expeditiously and the petitioner is at liberty to file rejoinder, if any upon filing counter affidavit by the respondent, adjourned the matter.

Call on 05.09.2022 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 51 of 2022 & I. A. No. 41 of 2022	M/s. Pemmasani Solar Power Pvt. Ltd.	TSSPDCL & its CGM

Petition filed seeking directions for payment of amount deducted towards auxiliary consumption in the monthly bills paid towards power supplied along with interest apart from exemption for not maintaining power factor.

I. A. filed seeking interim order directing the respondents to pay power supply bills of the petitioner without adjusting or deducting any amount towards excess auxiliary consumption or variation in the power factor pending disposal of the main original petition.

Sri Srinivasa Rao Pachwa, Advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition is filed for recovering the amount deducted towards auxiliary consumption in monthly bills paid towards power supplied to the licensee along with interest on the said amount due. The petition is also filed for exempting maintenance of power factor. An interlocutory application is also filed for payment of the bills without adjusting the auxiliary consumption pending disposal of the original petition. The representative of the respondents sought further time to file counter affidavit in the matter. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 05.09.2022 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 52 of 2022 & I. A. No. 42 of 2022	M/s. Ujjvalatejas Solaire Urja Pvt. Ltd.	TSNPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner by the respondent and consequently payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordanced with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking interim order directing the respondent to pay 80% of the pending amounts to USUPL within one week as well as to deposit the balance 20% of the pending amounts with the Commission.

Sri Rohit Aditya K. Singh and Sri P.S.S. Bhargava, Advocates for petitioner alongwith Sri Krishnajith. MU , petitioner's representative and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that

the petition is filed for recovering the amounts due towards power generation supplied to the respondent. Also, the petitioner has filed an interlocutory application for payment of part amount pending disposal of the original petition. The representative of the respondent stated that the matter is coming up for the first time and he needs further time for filing counter affidavit. The Commission upon insistence of the counsel for petitioner for interim directions or disposal of the petition itself on the same lines as has been decided by the Commission in similar cases, had observed that the Commission is inclined to pass orders, however, an opportunity is being given to the respondent for filing counter affidavit. The Commission having noticed that the matter is coming up today for the first time for filing counter affidavit, while making it clear that the licensee shall file the counter affidavit expeditiously and the petitioner is at liberty to file rejoinder, if any upon filing counter affidavit by the respondent, adjourned the matter.

Call on 05.09.2022 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 53 of 2022 & I. A. No. 43 of 2022	M/s. Suprasanna Solaire Urja Pvt. Ltd.	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner by the respondent and consequently payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking interim order directing the respondent to pay 80% of the pending amounts to SSUPL within one week as well as to deposit the balance 20% of the pending amounts with the Commission.

Sri Rohit Aditya K. Singh and Sri P.S.S. Bhargava, Advocates for petitioner alongwith Sri Krishnajith. MU, petitioner's representative and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition is filed for recovering the amounts due towards power generation supplied to the respondent. Also, the petitioner has filed an interlocutory application for payment of part amount pending disposal of the original petition. The representative of the respondent stated that the matter is coming up for the first time and he needs further time for filing counter affidavit. The Commission upon insistence of the counsel for petitioner for interim directions or disposal of the petition

itself on the same lines as has been decided by the Commission in similar cases, had observed that the Commission is inclined to pass orders, however, an opportunity is being given to the respondent for filing counter affidavit. The Commission having noticed that the matter is coming up today for the first time for filing counter affidavit, while making it clear that the licensee shall file the counter affidavit expeditiously and the petitioner is at liberty to file rejoinder, if any upon filing counter affidavit by the respondent, adjourned the matter.

Call on 05.09.2022 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 54 of 2022 & I. A. No. 44 of 2022	M/s. Nirjara Solaire Urja Pvt. Ltd.	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner by the respondent and consequently payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking interim order directing the respondent to pay 80% of the pending amounts to NSUPL within one week as well as to deposit the balance 20% of the pending amounts with the Commission.

Sri Rohit Aditya K. Singh and Sri P.S.S. Bhargava, Advocates for petitioner alongwith Sri Krishnajith. MU , petitioner's representative and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition is filed for recovering the amounts due towards power generation supplied to the respondent. Also, the petitioner has filed an interlocutory application for payment of part amount pending disposal of the original petition. The representative of the respondent stated that the matter is coming up for the first time and he needs further time for filing counter affidavit. The Commission upon insistence of the counsel for petitioner for interim directions or disposal of the petition itself on the same lines as has been decided by the Commission in similar cases, had observed that the Commission is inclined to pass orders, however, an opportunity is being given to the respondent for filing counter affidavit. The Commission having noticed that the matter is coming up today for the first time for filing counter affidavit, while making it clear that the licensee shall file the counter

affidavit expeditiously and the petitioner is at liberty to file rejoinder, if any upon filing counter affidavit by the respondent, adjourned the matter.

Call on 05.09.2022 at 11.30 AM,

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 10 of 2020	HMWS & SB	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking to revise / reduce the tariff on par with HMRL.

Sri Satyalingam, OSD along with Sri M. Satyanarayana, Executive Director for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The representative of the petitioner stated that the present petition may be tagged to the other proceedings in I. A. No. 40 of 2022 in O. P. No. 18 of 2020. He also stated the issues raised in this petition stood substantially considered by the Commission in the earlier orders passed by it in O. P. No. 18 of 2020. Therefore, the Commission may consider the appropriate course of action in the matter. The representative of the respondent submitted that the reliefs stood granted earlier, hence, the Commission may take a decision in the matter. Having heard the submissions of the parties, the matter is reserved for orders.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
I. A. No. 40 of 2022 in O. P. No. 18 of 2020	HMWS & SB	TSDISCOMs, Spl. Chief Secretary to Govt., Energy & Finance Departments

I. A. filed seeking extension of the concessional tariff allowed pursuant to government communication in the year 2020 and continued in the year 2021-22 as per order dated 18.07.2020 in O. P. No. 18 of 2020 (suo motu) as also in the year 2022-23.

Sri Satyalingam, OSD along with Sri M. Satyanarayana, Executive Director for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The representative of the petitioner stated that the present application is filed for directions to the respondents to extend the concessional tariff for FY 2022-23 as was done for earlier years, such tariff being inline with the tariff extended to HMRL. He stated that the Government of Telangana through Energy Department had sent a

letter dated 30.06.2022 invoking section 108 of the Act, 2003 and requiring the Commission to extend concessional tariff for FY 2022-23. In doing so, the GoTS has committed itself to provide the past and future subventions required by the TSDISCOMs towards concessional tariff extended to HMWSSB. It is his case that the board has been pursuing with the government through its administrative department that is Municipal Administration for release of subvention in a timely manner.

The representative of the respondents stated that the matter arose in the year 2018 and since then the government has been insisting on concessional tariff. The Commission had already extended the concessional tariff for FY 2018-19, 2019-20 and 2020-21 apart from extending the same for FY 2021-22 by separate orders. He stated that the subvention part that is to be released from the GoTs is factored into the total subsidy component and the same is being released to the TSDISCOMs. However, he has pointed out that the applicant in this application failed to comply with the Commission's tariff order for FY 2018-19 to 2021-22 regarding payment of regular tariff bills, which stood at an arrears of more than Rs. 2,500 crores approximately. In the absence of payment, the licensees will be constrained to extend the concessional tariff to the applicant.

The Commission at this stage sought to emphasize that the Commission has not extended the concessional tariff in its regular retail supply tariff order for FY 2022-23 in the absence of any information about payment of regular tariff by the applicant. Even now, the applicant shall ensure payment of regular tariff and thereupon, the Commission will consider the directions of the government for extending the concessional tariff. Having heard the submissions of the parties, the matter is reserved for orders.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 48 of 2022	TSDISCOMs	-None-

Petition filed seeking determination of pooled cost of power purchase for FY 2021-22 to be adopted in the year 2022-23

Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for petitioners is present. The representative of the petitioners stated that the Commission desired that audited accounts of the petitioners be filed for considering the petition and taking a decision in the matter. The auditing of accounts is under process and the same will be submitted to the Commission shortly. The Commission made it clear that the licensees shall place before the Commission the relevant audit reports expeditiously for enabling the Commission to decide on the matter. Having heard the representative of the petitioners, the matter is reserved for orders.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman